# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0450-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 242

Subject: Economic Development; Economic Development Department

Type: Original

Date: February 7, 2013

Bill Summary: This proposal establishes the Economic Development Grant Program to be

used to reopen a closed manufacturing factory.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
General Revenue	\$0 to (Unknown expected to exceed \$100,000)	\$0 to (Unknown expected to exceed \$100,000)	\$0 to (Unknown expected to exceed \$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0 to (Unknown expected to exceed \$100,000)	\$0 to (Unknown expected to exceed \$100,000)	\$0 to (Unknown expected to exceed \$100,000)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 0450-01 Bill No. HB 242 Page 2 of 5 February 7, 2013

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
			_	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials at the **Office of Administration - Budget and Planning (BAP)** assume this proposal establishes the Economic Development Grant Program to allow companies to reopen a closed manufacturing factory. This legislation would not impact Total State Revenue. The impact to General Revenue is unknown but would be subject to the appropriations process. The proposal could induce economic activity but BAP does not have data to estimate such activity.

Officials at the **Department of Economic Development (DED)** assume this proposal creates the Economic Development Grant Program under Section 620.1950 to be administered by DED. The funds are to be used and distributed to allow companies to reopen a closed manufacturing factory. The program is subject to appropriation; therefore it is unknown how this legislation will directly affect Total State Revenue.

DED is responsible for establishing the procedures for the program and anticipates that the implementation of this program would result in the need for one additional FTE to administer the program. The FTE would be an Economic Development Incentive Specialist III (\$41,016) and would be responsible for establishing procedures for the program, reviewing the program applications to make sure they meet the criteria of the program, certifying the project, and ensuring program compliance.

**Oversight** assumes DED could absorb the duties of this program with existing staff. Should the number of applications reach the number where additional FTE are justified, then DED could seek the FTE through the appropriation process.

**Oversight** will reflect an unknown amount of grants given by DED, as well as an unknown amount of administrative costs incurred by DED. Oversight assumes this amount would be over \$100,000.

Officials at the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** assume there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** state many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that

L.R. No. 0450-01 Bill No. HB 242 Page 4 of 5 February 7, 2013

### <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

**Oversight** assumes the creation of this program in this proposal would have a positive impact on the state. However, Oversight considers this to be indirect impact of the proposals and will not reflect it in the fiscal note.

	exceed <u>\$100,000)</u>	exceed <u>\$100,000)</u>	exceed <u>\$100,000)</u>
	expected to	expected to	expected to
GENERAL REVENUE	(Unknown	(Unknown	(Unknown
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON	<b>\$0</b> to	<b>\$0</b> to	<b>\$0</b> to
Grants for companies to reopen a closed manufacturing factory	exceed <u>\$100,000</u> )	exceed <u>\$100,000</u> )	exceed <u>\$100,000</u> )
Cost - Dept of Economic Development	\$0 to (Unknown expected to	expected to	expected to
Cost- Dept of Economic Development Administration of grant program	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)
GENERAL REVENUE	(10 Mo.)		
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016

L.R. No. 0450-01 Bill No. HB 242 Page 5 of 5 February 7, 2013

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small businesses that qualify for the grant may be impacted.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill establishes the Economic Development Grant Program to be administered by the Department of Economic Development. Moneys deposited into the grant program from state appropriations or any gifts, bequests, or donations will be used by a company to reopen a manufacturing factory that has been closed. The department must develop a procedure for a company to apply for the grant. If appropriations are insufficient in any given fiscal year to fund all grants, each award will be reduced pro rata as necessary.

The provisions of the bill will expire six years after the effective date.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Economic Development
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Office of Administration
Budget and Planning
Office of the Secretary of State

Ross Strope Acting Director February 7, 2013

Con Aday.